

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/076,925	02/14/2002	Brian C. Banister	LSI-005-CIP	3966	
7590 06/08/2005			EXAM	EXAMINER	
Jaquez & Associates			GHULAMALI, QUTBUDDIN		
62650 Greenwich Drive SAN DIEGO, CA 92122-5916			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2637	2637	
			DATE MAILED: 06/08/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/076,925	BANISTER, BRIAN C.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Qutub Ghulamali	2637			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	rely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 Fe	ebruary 2002.				
·	This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-4,11-14 and 20-31 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 5-10 and 15-19 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		·			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/05/04, 3/29/04. 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate atent Application (PTO-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 2, 4, 11-14, 20-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gerlach et al (IEEE Signal Processing Publication).

Regarding claims 1, 2, 29-30, Gerlach discloses a method of applying overlaid perturbation vectors for gradient feedback transmit antenna array adaptation in a communication system, wherein the communication system includes a transmitter (base) and a receiver (mobile), and wherein the transmitter includes a plurality of antennas, comprising:

- (a) overlaying at least one weight vector perturbation vector (page 150, column 2, paragraphs 1-4; page 151, column 1, paragraphs 1, 2)
- (b) measuring multiple weight vector perturbation vectors during a measurement interval, wherein the measurement interval has a greater duration than a feedback interval (page 152, column 1 paragraph 1);
- (c) generating a feedback based on the measurements of act (b) (page 152, column 1 paragraph 1);

Application/Control Number: 10/076,925

Art Unit: 2637

d) determining a new weight vector perturbation vector based on the feedback generated in the act (c) (page 151, column 1); and

(e) returning to the act (a) (page 151, column 1).

Regarding claim 4, Gerlach discloses measurement interval is approximately 2 times the feedback interval (page 152, column 2, section IV).

Regarding claim 11, Gerlach discloses independently adjusting a first perturbation size that is applied at transmission during a measurement interval and a second perturbation size applied as an update to a tracked weight vector (page 152, column 1, section IV).

Regarding claim 12, Gerlach discloses lagged feedback through utilization of multiple indices (page 150, column 2, section II).

Regarding claim 13, Gerlach discloses a first and a second index (page 150, column 2, section II).

Regarding claim 14, Gerlach discloses the second index represents one of two states, wherein a first state represents "before feedback received" and a second state represents "after feedback received" (page 151, column 1, section II).

As per claims 20-23, Gerlach discloses feedback comprises 1, 2, 3, 4 and multiple bits (see page 152, section IV).

Regarding claims 24 and 28, the steps claimed as method is nothing more than restating the function of the specific components of the apparatus as claimed above and therefore, it would have been obvious, considering the aforementioned rejection for the methods claims 1 and 24.

With reference to claims 25-27, Gerlach discloses updating weight vectors in the preferred, positive, inverse (negative) direction (page 151, section III).

Application/Control Number: 10/076,925 Page 4

Art Unit: 2637

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gerlach et al (IEEE Signal Processing Publication) in view of Harrison et al (US Patent 6,434,366).

Regarding claim 3 Gerlach discloses all limitations to claim 1, but is silent regarding a DS-CDMA system. Harrison in a similar field of endeavor discloses the communication system comprise a DS-CDMA communication system. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time the invention was made to use a DS-CDMA communication system as taught by . Harrison in the system of Gerlach so that the system capacity can be increased.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 5-10, 15-19 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Application/Control Number: 10/076,925

Art Unit: 2637

US Patents:

Youssefmir et al (USP 6,141,567) discloses apparatus and method for beamforming in a

changing interference environment.

Wallace et al (USP 6,473,467) shows channel state information used by a communications

system between transmitter and receiver units.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Qutub Ghulamali whose telephone number is (571) 272-3014.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00AM - 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Jay Patel can be reached on (571) 272-2988. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

QG.

May 24, 2005.

JAY K. PATEL
SUPERVISORY PATENT FYAMINED

Page 5